Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Manjit Singh (8427498620)

(Regd. Post) s/o Sh. Gurcharan Singh,

Vill. Manemajra, Tehsil Chamkaur Sahib,

District Ropar

Versus

Public Information Officer

(Regd. Post) O/o State Tpt. Commissioner, Punjab,

Sector-17, Chandigarh

Remanded back to

First Appellate Authority,

(Regd. Post) O/o State Transport Commissioner,

Punjab, Sector-17, Chandigarh

Encl. RTI application. Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 248 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Sh. Manjit Singh, the complainant.

(ii) For the respondent: Ms. Kulwinder Kaur (PIO) (9779624022).

- The RTI application is dated 04.01.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information
 as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 01.03.2021 under
 Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued
 to the parties for 04.08.2021 through CISCO Webex application.
- 2. In today's hearing, complainant states that information in connection with point no. 5 is received and rest of the information is pending from the respondent PIO.
- 3. Respondent, Ms. Kulwinder Kaur is present for today's hearing.
- 4. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-
 - (31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

Complaint Case No.: 248 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

5. As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18

of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the

Commission.

6. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant

under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the

First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged

under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant

has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First

Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who will decide the

matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time limit, after giving

an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.

7. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate

Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3)

of the RTI Act, 2005.

Dated: 04.08.2021

8. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is disposed of. Copies of this decision

be sent to the parties through registered post.

(Anumit Singh Sodhi)
State Information Commissioner

Punjab

2/2

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Manjit Singh (8427498620)

(Regd. Post) s/o Sh. Gurcharan Singh,

Vill. Manemajra, Tehsil Chamkaur Sahib,

District Ropar

Versus

Public Information Officer

(Regd. Post) O/o State Tpt. Commissioner, Punjab,

Sector-17, Chandigarh

Remanded back to

First Appellate Authority,

(Regd. Post) O/o State Transport Commissioner,

Punjab, Sector-17, Chandigarh

Encl. RTI application. Respondent

> Complaint Case No.: 249 of 2021 **Through CISCO WEBEX**

Present: (i) Sh. Manjit Singh, the complainant.

(ii)For the respondent: Ms. Kulwinder Kaur (PIO) (9779624022).

- 1. The RTI application is dated 05.01.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 01.03.2021 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 04.08.2021 through CISCO Webex application.
- 2. In today's hearing, both the parties are present.
- 3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 - 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-
 - (31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

Complaint Case No.: 249 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

4. As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18

of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the

Commission.

5. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant

under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the

First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged

under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant

has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First

Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who will decide the

matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time limit, after giving

an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate

Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3)

of the RTI Act, 2005.

Dated: 04.08.2021

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is disposed of. Copies of this decision

be sent to the parties through registered post.

(Anumit Singh Sodhi)
State Information Commissioner

Punjab

2/2

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u> Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>



Sh. Manjit Singh (8427498620)

s/o Sh. Gurcharan Singh, Vill. Manemajra, Tehsil Chamkaur Sahib, District Ropar

Versus

Public Information Officer

Dated: 04.08.2021

O/o General Manager, Punjab Roadways,

Amritsar-II

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 250 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Sh. Manjit Singh, the complainant.

(ii)For the respondent: Ms. Kamalpreet Kaur (Clerk) (9888089313).

ORDER

- 1. The RTI application is dated 14.12.2020 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 01.03.2021 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 04.08.2021 through CISCO Webex application.
- In today's hearing, complainant states that partial information has been supplied and from point no. 2 to 10 is still pending.
- 3. Respondent, Ms. Kamalpreet Kaur states that requisite information has been sent to the complainant on 01.06.2021. She assured that she will supply the pending information to the complainant within seven days.
- 4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, respondent PIO is directed to supply the pending information to the complainant under the intimation to the Commission. On the assurance of the respondent, complainant has no objection to close this case.
- 5. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this complaint case is hereby **disposed of and closed** at the Commission's end.
- 6. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Manjit Singh (8427498620)

(Regd. Post) s/o Sh. Gurcharan Singh,

Vill. Manemajra, Tehsil Chamkaur Sahib,

District Ropar

Versus

Public Information Officer

(Regd. Post) O/o General Manager, Punjab Roadways,

Patti, Distt. Tarn Taran.

Remanded back to

First Appellate Authority,

(Regd. Post) O/o General Manager, Punjab Roadways,

Patti, Distt. Tarn Taran.

Encl. RTI application. Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 251 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Sh. Manjit Singh, the complainant.

(ii) Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

- The RTI application is dated 14.12.2020 whereby the information-seeker has sought information
 as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 01.03.2021 under
 Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued
 to the parties for 04.08.2021 through CISCO Webex application.
- 2. In today's hearing, complainant is present and states that information in connection with point no. 1 is received.
- 3. Respondent PIO is absent without any intimation to the Commission.
- 4. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-
 - (31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

Complaint Case No.: 251 of 2021 **Through CISCO WEBEX**

5. As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18

of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the

Commission.

6. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant

under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the

First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged

under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant

has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First

Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who will decide the

matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time limit, after giving

an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.

7. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate

Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3)

of the RTI Act, 2005.

8. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is disposed of. Copies of this decision

be sent to the parties through registered post.

(Anumit Singh Sodhi) **State Information Commissioner Punjab**

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u>
Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>



Complainant

Sh. Manjit Singh (8427498620)

(Regd. Post) s/o Sh. Gurcharan Singh,

Vill. Manemajra, Tehsil Chamkaur Sahib,

District Ropar

Versus

Public Information Officer

(Regd. Post) O/o General Manager, Punjab Roadways,

Patti, Distt. Tarn Taran.

Remanded back to

First Appellate Authority,

(Regd. Post) O/o General Manager, Punjab Roadways,

Patti, Distt. Tarn Taran.

Encl. RTI application. Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 252 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Sh. Manjit Singh, the complainant.

(ii) Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

- 1. The RTI application is dated 14.12.2020 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 01.03.2021 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 04.08.2021 through CISCO Webex application.
- 2. In today's hearing, complainant is present but respondent PIO is absent without any intimation to the Commission.
- 3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-
 - (31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

Complaint Case No.: 252 of 2021 **Through CISCO WEBEX**

4. As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18

of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the

Commission.

5. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant

under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the

First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged

under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant

has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First

Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who will decide the

matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time limit, after giving

an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate

Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3)

of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is disposed of. Copies of this decision

be sent to the parties through registered post.

(Anumit Singh Sodhi) **State Information Commissioner Punjab**

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Manjit Singh (8427498620)

(Regd. Post) s/o Sh. Gurcharan Singh,

Vill. Manemajra, Tehsil Chamkaur Sahib,

District Ropar

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o General Manager, Punjab Roadways,

Jalandhar-I.

Remanded back to

First Appellate Authority,

(Regd. Post) O/o General Manager, Punjab Roadways,

Jalandhar-I.

Encl. RTI application. Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 253 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Sh. Manjit Singh, the complainant.

(ii) Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

- The RTI application is dated 14.12.2020 whereby the information-seeker has sought information
 as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 01.03.2021 under
 Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued
 to the parties for 04.08.2021 through CISCO Webex application.
- 2. In today's hearing, complainant is present but respondent PIO is absent without any intimation to the Commission.
- 3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-
 - (31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

Complaint Case No.: 253 of 2021 **Through CISCO WEBEX**

4. As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18

of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the

Commission.

5. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant

under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the

First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged

under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant

has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First

Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who will decide the

matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time limit, after giving

an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate

Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3)

of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is disposed of. Copies of this decision

be sent to the parties through registered post.

(Anumit Singh Sodhi) **State Information Commissioner Punjab**

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u>
Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>



Sh. Manjit Singh (8427498620)

(Regd. Post) s/o Sh. Gurcharan Singh,

Vill. Manemajra, Tehsil Chamkaur Sahib,

District Ropar

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o General Manager, Punjab Roadways, Hoshiarpur

Remanded back to

First Appellate Authority,

(Regd. Post) O/o General Manager, Punjab Roadways,

Hoshiarpur

Encl. RTI application Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 254 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Sh. Manjit Singh, the complainant.

(ii) Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

- The RTI application is dated 14.12.2020 whereby the information-seeker has sought information
 as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 01.03.2021 under
 Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued
 to the parties for 04.08.2021 through CISCO Webex application.
- 2. In today's hearing, complainant is present but respondent PIO is absent without any intimation to the Commission.
- 3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-
 - (31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

Complaint Case No.: 254 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

4. As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18

of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the

Commission.

5. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant

under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the

First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged

under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant

has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First

Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who will decide the

matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time limit, after giving

an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate

Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3)

of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is disposed of. Copies of this decision

be sent to the parties through registered post.

(Anumit Singh Sodhi) **State Information Commissioner Punjab**

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Complainant

Sh. Manjit Singh (8427498620)

(Regd. Post) s/o Sh. Gurcharan Singh,

Vill. Manemajra, Tehsil Chamkaur Sahib,

District Ropar

Versus

Public Information Officer

(Regd. Post) O/o General Manager, Punjab Roadways,

Jalandhar-II.

Remanded back to

First Appellate Authority,

(Regd. Post) O/o General Manager, Punjab Roadways,

Jalandhar-II

Encl. RTI application Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 255 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Sh. Manjit Singh, the complainant.

(ii) Nobody on behalf of the respondent.

<u>ORDER</u>

- The RTI application is dated 14.12.2020 whereby the information-seeker has sought information
 as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 01.03.2021 under
 Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued
 to the parties for 04.08.2021 through CISCO Webex application.
- 2. In today's hearing, complainant is present but respondent PIO is absent without any intimation to the Commission.
- 3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-
 - (31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

Complaint Case No.: 255 of 2021 **Through CISCO WEBEX**

4. As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18

of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the

Commission.

5. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant

under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the

First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged

under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order. In case the complainant

has any grouse, he is advised to challenge the response of the PIO before the designated First

Appellate Authority, as envisaged under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, who will decide the

matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act within the prescribed time limit, after giving

an opportunity of hearing to all concerned, by passing a speaking order.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate

Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3)

of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is disposed of. Copies of this decision

be sent to the parties through registered post.

(Anumit Singh Sodhi) **State Information Commissioner Punjab**

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Manjinder Singh (9872015069)

#2469, Sunny Enclave, Sector-125, Kharar, District Mohali-140301

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Jalandhar

Sh. Balwant Singh

O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Jalandhar

First Appellate Authority

Dated: 04.08.2021

O/o State Transport Commissioner, Punjab, Chandigarh

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3878 of 2020 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Sh. Manjinder Singh, the appellant in person.

(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Manish (SO-cum-PIO) (7900010070).

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.06.2021 vide which, appellant was advised to visit the respondent's office on 18.06.2021 (Friday) at 11:00 A.M. to inspect the official record relates with RTI application.

Respondent PIO, Ms. Manreet Kaur was directed to make sure appellant inspected the relevant record as per queries raised in RTI application and supply the identified pages to the appellant as per RTI Act, 2005. Both the parties agreed on it. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 04.08.2021 i.e. today.

- 2. In today's hearing, appellant states that requisite information is received but late and requests to close this case.
- 3. Respondent, Sh. Manish Kumar is present for today's hearing. Respondent PIO is advised o deal RTI application in future within stipulated time so that precious time and resources of the Commission as well as of the Public could not be wasted.
- 4. As the information stands supplied, no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this Appeal Case is hereby **disposed of and closed** at the Commission's end.
- 5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Balraj Singh Kahlon (Advocate) (7837200432)

Chamber No. 402, New Courts,

Jalandhar Appellant Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Officer, District Jalandhar

First Appellate Authority

O/o Secretary, Regional Transport Office, District Jalandhar

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 4046 of 2020 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Nobody on behalf of the appellant.

(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Manish (SO-cum-PIO) (7900010070).

<u>ORDER</u>

- This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 09.06.2021 vide which it was
 observed that appellant was absent on 02.03.2021 and 09.06.2021. A last and final opportunity
 was given to him to represent this case. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 04.08.2021
 i.e. today.
- 2. In today's hearing, respondent, Sh. Manish is present for today's hearing and states that appellant was called thrice but no response.
- 3. Appellant is continuously absent third time as he was also absent on the previous hearings held on 02.03.2021 and 09.06.2021, which means he does not want to pursue this case. Therefore, no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this complaint case is hereby disposed of and closed at the Commission's end.
- 4. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 04.08.2021 (Anumit Singh Sodhi)
State Information Commissioner
Puniab

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - <u>psic22@punjabmail.gov.in</u>
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Hardev Singh, (8847413739)

S/o Sh. Piyara Singh,

#7, Jammu Colony, Ludhiana 141993.

Vs

Public Information Officer

O/o G.M, Pb. Roadways, Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority

Dated: 04.08.2021

O/o Director, State Transport Pb.,

Chandigarh

Respondent

Appellant

Appeal Case No.: 672 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i)Sh. Hardev Singh, the appellant.

(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Rajnish Bansal (Superintendent) (7888809268).

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.05.2021 vide which respondent, Sh. Rajnish Bansal stated that demanded information has already been sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter no. 10930 dated 30.12.2020 and till date no deficiency has been pointed out by the appellant.

Appellant, Sh. Hardev Singh left the CISCO WEBEX meeting in between during the hearing of this present case. A last and final opportunity was given to the appellant to represent his case, failing to which case will be closed. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 04.08.2021 i.e. today.

- 2. In today's hearing, appellant states that requisite information is received but late and requests to close this case.
- Respondent, Sh. Rajnish Bansal is present for today's hearing. Respondent PIO is advised o deal RTI application in future within stipulated time so that precious time and resources of the Commission as well as of the Public could not be wasted.
- 4. As the information stands supplied, no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this Appeal Case is hereby **disposed of and closed** at the Commission's end.
- 5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Surinder Singh (8437857289)

S/o Sh. Bakhshi Singh Vill & PO Jandoli, Distt. Hoshiarpur 146102

Versus

Public Information Officer O/o BDPO, Mahilpur, Distt. Hoshiarpur

First Appellate Authority O/o DDPO, Hoshiarpur

Dated: 04.08.2021

Respondent

Appellant

Appeal Case No.: 635 of 2021 Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Sh. Surinder Singh through telephonic call

(ii)For the respondent: Sh. Maninder Thind (8284932607) along with Sh. Dharampal

(BDPO) (9463287096).

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 13.05.2021 vide which respondent PIO was directed to send the pending information to the appellant through registered post along with advice to both the parties to represent this case in person or through their representative(s) on the next date of hearing, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 04.08.2021 i.e. today.

- 2. In today's hearing, appellant, Sh. Surinder Singh states that requisite information is received.
- 3. Respondents, Sh. Maninder Thind and Sh. Dharampal are present for today's hearing.
- 4. As the information stands supplied, no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, this Appeal Case is hereby disposed of and closed at the Commission's end.
- 5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.